

Toll-Like Receptor (TLR)9-signaling and its effects on B cell receptor (BCR) signaling in B-1 cells

Sophie R. Gretler (1), Fauna L. Smith (2, 3), Nicole Baumgarth (2, 3, 4)

(1) Veterinary Science Training Program, (2) Center for Immunology and Infectious Diseases, (3) Integrated Pathobiology Graduate Group, (4) Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, School of Veterinary Medicine University of California, Davis, CA



Introduction

What are Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and what is the role of TLR9 in infection?

- Part of the innate immune system
- A type of pattern recognition receptor (PRR) that .
 - recognize structurally conserved motifs amon pathogens, also known as pathogen associated molecular patterns or PAMPs
- TLR9 is located in the endosomes and recognizes unmethylated DNA that is present in pathogens, but not in mammals
 - response including the release of cytokines and the transcrintion of genes involved in the TLR activation leads to an inflammatory

OPEN

Methods

Cell isolation

- pleural cavities via lavage and B-2 cells were isolated from the spleen; both were from BALB/c B-1 cells were isolated from the peritoneal and •
- CD5+ B-1 cells and B-2 cells were sorted using Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting on the FACSAria

Flow cytometry and ELISA

- B-1 and B-2 cells were cultured for 72h at 37°C with the proliferation dye eFluor60 (1.25 mM) and either CpG (5 mg/mL), anti-1gM (Fab)2 (10 mg/mL), CpG+anti-1gM, or no stimulation
 - Cells were used for Flow cytometry and the supernatant used for ELISA

OPEN

activation, proliferation, and Figure 1. TLR but not BCR differentiation of B-1 cells stimulation results in



(A) Representative histograms of the proliferation dye eFluor670 for br 1-1 and B-2 cells cultured for 72 hours with no stimulation, anti-IgM(Fab)2 (BCR stimulation), CpG (TLR stimulation), or anti-IgM+CpC (BCR+TLR stimulation) (eff), Graph of proliferation measured as % of live cells dividing more than once for B-1 and B-2 cells (right).



stimulation in B-2 but not B-1 induction of IgM-BCR and Figure 2. Reciprocal **TLR9** following their cells



graphical representation of normalized TLR9 expression in B-1 and B-2 cells cultured for 72 hours with no stimulation, anti-IgM(Fab)2 (BCR stimulation), CpG (TLR stimulation) or anti-IgM-CpG (BCR+TLR stimulation) measured by flow cytometry (n=3) (right). (A) Representative histograms of TLR9 (left) and a



(B) Representative histograms of IgM (left) and a graphical representation of normalized IgM expression in B-1 and B-2 cells cultured as indicated in (A) (right).

following BCR internalization colocalizes with TLR9 and Lamp-1 (late endosome) in B-1 and B-2 cells Figure 3. The BCR



Conclusions

- differs significantly between B-1 and B-2 cells as observed in proliferation, activation and The outcome of BCR and/or TLR stimulation
- B-1 cells are unable to proliferate in response to BCR stimulation, but are able to differentiate into CD138+ plasma cells with combined BCR+TLR differentiation
 - The effect of BCR and/or TLR stimulation on stimulation
- their own expression and the expression of each other also differs in B-1 and B-2 cells The BCR colocalizes with TLR9 and Lamp-1



Toll-Like Receptor (TLR)9-signaling and its effects on B cell receptor (BCR) signaling in B-1 cells





(1) Veterinary Science Training Program, (2) Center for Immunology and Infectious Diseases, (3) Integrated Pathobiology Graduate Group, (4) Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, School of Veterinary Medicine University of California, Davis, CA



PRESENTED AT:



INTRODUCTION

What are Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and what is the role of TLR9 in infection?

- Part of the innate immune system
- A type of pattern recognition receptor (PRR) that recognize structurally conserved motifs among pathogens, also known as pathogen associated molecular patterns or PAMPs
- TLR9 is located in the endosomes and recognizes unmethylated DNA that is present in pathogens, but not in mammals
- TLR activation leads to an inflammatory response including the release of cytokines and the transcription of genes involved in the immune response

What are B-1 cells?

- Small subset of fetal and neonatally-derived B cells
- Play a role in the innate immune response through their constitutive and induced secretion of polyreactive IgM
- They are often self-reactive and are prevented from becoming activated upon BCR stimulation by the presence of inhibitory molecules such as CD5
- Respond to TLR stimulation by downregulating CD5 and becoming activated



Hypothesis: TLR stimulation in B-1 cells alters the location and expression levels of the BCR and results in activation of downstream BCR signaling pathways

METHODS

Cell isolation

- B-1 cells were isolated from the peritoneal and pleural cavities via lavage and B-2 cells were isolated from the spleen; both were from BALB/c mice
- CD5+ B-1 cells and B-2 cells were sorted using Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting on the FACSAria

Flow cytometry and ELISA

- B-1 and B-2 cells were cultured for 72h at 37°C with the proliferation dye eFluor670 (1.25 mM) and either CpG (5 mg/mL), anti-IgM (Fab)2 (10 mg/mL), CpG+anti-IgM, or no stimulation
- Cells were used for Flow cytometry and the supernatant used for ELISA

Immunofluorescence

- Immunofluorescence staining was performed on B-1 and B-2 cells pretreated for 30 min at 37°C with CpG, anti-IgM, CpG+anti-IgM, or no stimulation, followed by a 30 min internalization assay with anti-IgM-FITC
- Cells were imaged using a Leica confocal microscope

Statistical Analysis

- Analysis was done using a One-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons
- *=p<0.05, **=p<0.005, ***=p<0.0005, ****=p<0.00005

FIGURE 1. TLR BUT NOT BCR STIMULATION RESULTS IN ACTIVATION, PROLIFERATION, AND DIFFERENTIATION OF B-1 CELLS



(A) Representative histograms of the proliferation dye eFluor670 for B-1 and B-2 cells cultured for 72 hours with no stimulation, anti-IgM(Fab)2 (BCR stimulation), CpG (TLR stimulation), or anti-IgM+CpG (BCR+TLR stimulation) (left). Graph of proliferation measured as % of live cells dividing more than once for B-1 and B-2 cells (right).



(B) Representative FACS plots for CD138 and the proliferation dye eFluor670 (left) and graph of Mean % CD138+ B-1 cells \pm SD cultured for 72 hours with no stimulation, anti-IgM(Fab)2 (BCR stimulation), CpG (TLR stimulation), or anti-IgM+CpG (BCR+TLR stimulation) (n=5) (right).



Samples for B-1 and B-2 BCR stim and B-2 BCR+TLR stim were below the limit of detection

(C) Concentration of IgM (ug/mL) in the supernatant of B-1 and B-2 cells cultured for 72 hours with no stimulation, anti-IgM(Fab)2 (BCR stimulation), CpG (TLR stimulation), or anti-IgM+CpG (BCR+TLR stimulation) measured by ELISA (n=5).



(D) MFI \pm SD of CD86 (left) and CD19 (right) in B-1 and B-2 cells cultured for 72 hours with no stimulation, anti-IgM(Fab)2 (BCR stimulation), CpG (TLR stimulation), or anti-IgM+CpG (BCR+TLR stimulation) measured by flow cytometry (n=5-9).

FIGURE 2. RECIPROCAL INDUCTION OF IGM-BCR AND TLR9 FOLLOWING THEIR STIMULATION IN B-2 BUT NOT B-1 CELLS



(A) Representative histograms of TLR9 (left) and a graphical representation of normalized TLR9 expression in B-1 and B-2 cells cultured for 72 hours with no stimulation, anti-IgM(Fab)2 (BCR stimulation), CpG (TLR stimulation), or anti-IgM+CpG (BCR+TLR stimulation) measured by flow cytometry (n=3) (right).



aavmc (iPosterSessions - an aMuze! Interactive system)

(B) Representative histograms of IgM (left) and a graphical representation of normalized IgM expression in B-1 and B-2 cells cultured as indicated in (A) (right).

FIGURE 3. THE BCR COLOCALIZES WITH TLR9 AND LAMP-1 (LATE ENDOSOME) FOLLOWING BCR INTERNALIZATION IN B-1 AND B-2 CELLS



(A) Representative fluorescent image of IgM, TLR9, and Lamp1 in B-1 cells following 30 min internalization assay with anti-IgM-FITC.



(B) Thresholded Manders coefficients M1 (left) and M2 (right) for IgM and TLR9 in B-1 and B-2 cells pre-treated for 30 min with no stimulation, anti-IgM(Fab)2 (BCR stimulation), CpG (TLR stimulation), or anti-IgM+CpG (BCR+TLR stimulation) followed by 30 min internalization with anti-IgM-FITC (n=13-45).

Proportion of total IgM colocalized with Lamp-1

Proportion of total Lamp-1 colocalized with IgM



(C) Thresholded Manders coefficients M1 (left) and M2 (right) for IgM and Lamp-1 in B-1 and B-2 cells stimulated as described in (B) (n=13-45).

CONCLUSIONS

- The outcome of BCR and/or TLR stimulation differs significantly between B-1 and B-2 cells as observed in proliferation, activation and differentiation
- B-1 cells are unable to proliferate in response to BCR stimulation, but are able to differentiate into CD138+ plasma cells with combined BCR+TLR stimulation
- The effect of BCR and/or TLR stimulation on their own expression and the expression of each other also differs in B-1 and B-2 cells
- The BCR colocalizes with TLR9 and Lamp-1 (late endosome) in both B-1 and B-2 cells

Acknowledgements

A big thanks to

Dr. Nicole Baumgarth

Dr. Fauna Smith

Baumgarth Lab

Jon Lam, Beth Hammond, Vuvi Tran, Jean Luo, Kim Olson, Sara Rosaro, Antonio Cembellin Prieto, Wahed Firoz, Nirali Vyas, Sharmila Sambanthamoorthy, Inglis Tucker

Dr. Ingrid Brust-Mascher at the UC Davis Advanced Imaging Facility

Tracy Rourke at the Primate Center Flow Cytometry Core

Financial support was provided by the Students Training in Advanced Research (STAR) Program

NIH T-32 OD011147 (FLS); NIH/NIAID R01AI148652 (NB) and R21 AI159115 (NB)

ABSTRACT

Toll-Like Receptor (TLR)9-signaling and its effects on B cell receptor (BCR) signaling in B-1 cells

Sophie R. Gretler, Fauna L. Smith, Nicole Baumgarth

Veterinary Science Training Program (Gretler), Center for Immunology and Infectious Diseases (Smith, Baumgarth), Integrated Pathobiology Graduate Group (Smith, Baumgarth), Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, School of Veterinary Medicine (Baumgarth), University of California, Davis, CA

B-1 cells are a subset of fetal and neonatal-derived B cells. They produce circulating natural IgM that help remove altered self and foreign antigens, including many pathogens. Antigen stimulation via the BCR fails to induce B-1 cell proliferation due to BCR-signaling inhibitors such as CD5, however, B-1 cells do proliferate in response to TLR-stimulation. We previously showed that TLR stimulation results in the downregulation of CD5 in B-1 cells, which is critical for their differentiation into plasma cells. However, the exact effects of TLR-mediated BCR-reorganization on the function of B-1 cells is unknown. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of these stimuli on BCR and TLR expression of B-1 and conventional B-2 cells using flow cytometry and confocal microscopy. Purified murine B-1 and B-2 cells were stimulated with CpG, a TLR9 agonist, and/or the BCR agonist anti-IgM (Fab)₂, for 72 hours. While CpG +/- anti-IgM increased TLR9 expression 2.8- and 3.5-fold, respectively, anti-IgM alone had no effect on TLR9. In contrast, B-2 cells increased TLR9 expression 3-to-4-fold after both TLR- and BCR- stimulation. TLR- but not BCR-stimulation induced IgM-secretion by B-1 cells, as measured by ELISA on cell culture supernatants. Although B-1 cells do not proliferate after anti-IgM stimulation, confocal image analysis showed rapid internalization of surface BCR. Ongoing analysis assesses the degree of TLR9 and BCR co-localization in the LAMP1+ endosome. The results expand our previous findings, indicating significant effects of TLR-stimulation on BCR internalization in B-1 and B-2 cells. Future studies will explore if TLR-mediated BCR internalization facilitates antigen-processing and presentation by B-1 cells.

Support from Students Training in Advanced Research (STAR) Fellowship (SG); NIH T-32 OD011147 (FLS); NIH/NIAID R01AI148652 (NB) and R21 AI159115 (NB).

REFERENCES

Savage HP, Kläsener K, Smith FL, Luo Z, Reth M, Baumgarth N. TLR induces reorganization of the IgM-BCR complex regulating murine B-1 cell responses to infections. Elife. 2019 Aug 21;8:e46997. doi: 10.7554/eLife.46997.

Lam JH, Smith FL, Baumgarth N. B Cell Activation and Response Regulation During Viral Infections. Viral Immunol. 2020 May;33(4):294-306. doi: 10.1089/vim.2019.0207.