

Investigation of genetic risk factors for squamous cell carcinoma of the equine eye

<u>Kari Hancock</u>¹, Kelly Knickelbein^{1,2}, Zachary Lounsberry¹, Moriel Singer-Berk¹, Mary Lassaline³, Rebecca Bellone^{1,4} ¹Veterinary Genetics Laboratory, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California-Davis, Davis, CA. ²Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital, University of California-Davis, Davis, CA. ³Department of Surgical and Radiological Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine. ⁴Department of Population Health and Reproduction, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California-Davis, Davis, CA



Background

- Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), the most common cancer of the equine eye, has a high prevalence in Haflinger horses indicating a genetic predisposition.
- Potential contributors to ocular SCC include UV radiation, viral exposure, hormonal regulation, coat color and breed predispositions.¹
- A recently identified recessive missense variant (c.103 C>T p.Thr338Met) in damage specific DNA binding protein 2 (DDB2) explains 76% of limbal and third eye lid SCC-affected Haflingers.^{1,2}
 Hypothesis: Genetic heterogeneity explains risk for ocular SCC in horses.
 Aim: The specific aim of this study is to identify additional genetic risk variants for ocular SCC in Haflinger horses.

Abstract

Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) has a high prevalence in Haflinger horses indicating a genetic predisposition. A missense variant on equine chromosome 12 (ECA12) (*c.103 C>T p.Thr338Met*) in damage specific DNA binding protein 2 (DDB2) explains 76% of ocular SCC-affected Haflingers.¹ This variant was not perfectly concordant with SCC status, as 24% of the affected horses were not homozygous for the risk allele. Further investigation of the 1.5 Mb region on ECA12 via serval methodologies did not identify another variant that was more strongly associated with the disease phenotype.² It is hypothesized that genetic heterogeneity contributes to cancer risk. To investigate this hypothesis, whole genome Illumina sequencing data from four Haflingers (two ocular SCC affected horses without the DDB2 risk variant and two clinically unaffected horses) were evaluated to identify variants segregating with disease status. Thirty one variants were selected for further investigation based on genomic location, estimated allelic frequency differences between cases and controls, gene function, and SNPeff predicted effects.³ Assessment of these variants within a sample set of 76 horses (14 ocular SCC-affected horses not homozygous for the DDB2 risk variant and 62 unaffected controls) identified two risk alleles that warrant further evaluation.

Table 3: Number of variants identified and prioritized from Haflinger whole genome sequencing data for each filter applied along with the predicted effect of variant.

Filter	# of Variants	Low	Moderate	High	Prioritized
1	26,784	90	65	0	11
2	191,610	737	510	22	5
3	383,186	1,656	1,153	68	14
4	1900*	43	31	1	1
*From analysis of Chr6: 66476920 - 74079162					

Materials & Methods

Sample Collection

- Horses were phenotyped by complete ocular examination and included as cases with histopathologic confirmation of SCC. Controls had no evidence of ocular disease per examination by a board-certified veterinary ophthalmologist and were at least 13 years of age.²
- DNA was extracted from blood or hair by routine extraction using the Puregene whole-blood extraction kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA) or from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue using the Quick-DNA FFPE kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA).¹

Variant Identification

Whole genome sequencing data available from four Haflingers (Table 1)² were mapped to Equcab2.0³ and Table 2. Filtering parameters used to prioritize variants for furtherinvestigation.

Filter 1: Greatest estimated difference in allele frequency

Cases: homozygous alternate. Controls: homozygous reference.

Filter 2: Identifying variants that fit a recessive model

Cases: homozygous alternate. Controls: homozygous reference or

Table 4: Allelic association testing for chr6 SNP7 COPS8 (G>C p.Val174Leu).

Allelic Model	С	G	Total
Affected	16	12	28
Unaffected	34	88	122
Total	50	100	150
X ² P-value = 3.0X10 ⁻³			

 Table 5: Association testing under a recessive model for chr11 SNP1

 KRT40 (A>G p.Ser57Gly)

Recessive Model	AA or AG	66	Total
			10101
Affected	0	14	14
Unaffected	22	39	61
Total	22	53	75
X ² P-value = 1.02 x 10 ⁻⁶			

Conclusion and Future

- variants called using Freebayes.⁴
- Variant caller files were filtered to prioritize polymorphism based on (1) location in an associated genomic locus from previous GWAS (published¹ and unpublished data) (2) allele distribution between cases and controls for different genetic models (Table 2) (3) predicted functional effect^{5,6}, and (4) the biologic relevance of the gene in cancer.

Genotyping Methods

- Twenty nine variants from the ranked variant list were used to design a multiplex SNP genotyping assays for genotyping 76 horses (14 cases and 62 controls) on the Agena MassArray platform.
- KRT6A (T>G p.Lys42Gln) and NOTCH1 (A>G p.Ile157Val) were genotyped via a PCR restriction fragment length polymorphism testing using PVUII and HpyCH4IV enzymes, respectively. Products were separated and visualized on the ABI3730 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Inc).
- Association testing was performed by Chi-squared test under several different models with predetermined significance level of α=0.05.

Table 1: Haflinger samples selected for high-throughput sequencing based on phenotype and *DDB2* c.1013 *C>T* genotype.

Filter 3: Identifying variants that fit a dominant model

Cases: homozygous alternate or heterozygous. Controls: homozygous reference.

Filter 4: Dominant model allowing one control to carry variant

Cases: homozygous alternate or heterozygous. One control may have an alternate allele.



Figure 1: Basic Allelic Association Testing of Prioritized Variants for Ocular SCC in Haflinger Horses. Chromosomes are differentiated by color.

Directions

- In evaluating a small percentage of the variants identified by the whole genome sequencing analysis, we identified two SNPs that warrant further evaluation.
- COPS8 plays a role in regulating ubiquitin E3 ligase complexes and COPS8 p.Val174Leu may explain increased risk for ocular SCC by playing a role in the UV damage DDB2 DNA repair process.⁸
- KRT40 is a type 1 keratin with known roles in proper hair fiber formation. However, several keratin genes have been implicated in human SCC. The role of different keratins have not been well studied in equine cancer biology.⁹
- Further evaluation of more complex risk models are needed. However, 29% of our cases and only 1.5% of our controls are homozygous alternate at both loci.
- Whole genome sequencing data from 4 additional horses (2 cases and 2 controls) are currently being evaluated to prioritize additional variants for further investigation.
- We will continue to collect cases and controls for increased power to detect loci with small effects.
- Associated variants will be evaluated to establish the

Horse	Ocular SCC Status	DDB2 genotype c.1013 C>T*	
HF-14-62	Affected	С/С	
HF-14-55	Affected	С/С	
HF-13-17	Unaffected	С/С	
HF-13-33	Unaffected	T/T	

* The *T* Allele was previously associated with ocular SCC phenotype^{1,2}.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the Center for Equine Health with funds provided by the State of California satellite wagering fund and contributions by private donors. Funds for KH stipend were graciously provided by Boehringer Ingelheim. Bioinformatic technical support was provided by Dr. Matthew Settles, Nikhil Joshi, and Monica Britton from the UC-Davis Bioinformatics Core. We acknowledge Drs. Reilly, Bentley, Clode, and Miller-Michau for their clinical evaluation of a few cases. Finally, this study would not have been possible if not for the horse owners who consented to participate in this study.

Results

- 31 variants from 7 chromosomes were selected from the 603,480 variants identified in filters 1 through 4 (Table 3).
- 24 variants were successfully genotyped either by RFLP or multiplex SNP genotyping assays.
- Basic allelic association testing identified a SNP on ECA6 (p=3.0X10⁻³) and a SNP on ECA 11 (p=1.02x10⁻⁶) warranting closer examination as genetic risk factors for ocular SCC (Figure 1).
- The associated missense variant on ECA6 (COPS8 G>C p.Val174Leu) is predicted to alter protein function. Allelic frequencies significantly differed between cases and controls (Table 4).
- All of the cases were homozygous for the KRT40 alternate allele (ECA11 SNP 1, KRT40, A>G p.Ser57Gly), suggesting that homozygosity for this variant may contribute to cancer risk. (Table 5).

most robust risk model to inform clinical management and breeding decisions to benefit the health of this equine population.

References

- . Bellone, R. R., et al. (2017). A missense mutation in damage-specific DNA binding protein 2 is a genetic risk factor for limbal squamous cell carcinoma in horses. International Journal of Cancer. DOI: 10.1002/ijc.30744
- Singer-Berk, M., et al. (2018), Genetic risk for squamous cell carcinoma of the nictitating membrane parallels that of the limbus in Haflinger horses. Anim Genet. . doi:10.1111/age.12695
- The Genome Assembly Team (2007). Equcab2.0. Retrieved June 1, 2018, from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCF_000002305.2/
- E. Garrison. FreeBayes. http://bioinformatics.bc.edu/marthlab/FreeBayes, 2011.
- 5. Cingolani P, et al. (2012). A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso3. Fly (Austin). 6(2):80-92.
- Bendl, J., et al. 2014: PredictSNP: Robust and Accurate Consensus Classifier for Prediction of Disease-Related Mutations. PLOS Computational Biology 10: e1003440.
- Kalbfleisch, T., et al. (2018). NCBI Equus caballus Annotation Release 103. University of Kentucky. (2018, Jan) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation_euk/Equus_caballus/103/
- Groisman, R. et al. (2003), The ubiquitin ligase activity in the DDB2 and CSA complexes is differentially regulated by the COP9 signalsome in response to DNA damage. Cell. Doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00316-7
- Karantza, V. (2010). Keratins in health and cancer: More than mere epithelial cell markers. Oncogene, 30(2), 127-138. doi:10.1038/onc.2010.456