





Raneesh Ramarapu¹, Sangwan Park¹, Nayeli Echeverria¹, Michelle Ferneding¹, Sophie Le¹, Monica Ardon¹, Vijay K. Raghunathan^{2,3}, Crystal D. Rogers⁴, Brian C. Leonard¹, Sara M. Thomasy^{1,5} ¹Department of Surgical and Radiological Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California Davis; ²The Ocular Surface Institute, College of Optometry, University of Houston; ³Department of Basic Sciences, University of Houston; ⁴Department of Anatomy, Physiology and Cell Biology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Medicine, University of California Davis; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Science, School of Med

Fuchs' endothelial corneal dystrophy (FECD) is a polygenic disease affecting >300 million individuals globally under the age of 30 [1]. The disease is characterized by accelerated and progressive loss of corneal endothelial cells (CEnC) with degenerative processes influencing the Descemet membrane (DM) such as accumulation of aberrant extracellular matrix (ECM) and guttae formation [2]. Patients develop corneal edema and consequent vision loss requiring transplantation. However, the lack of donor tissue in some regions of the world as well as surgical complications warrant identification of novel therapeutics.

The late-onset form of the disease can be modeled in mice that are deficient in *Wwtr1*, a gene that encodes the transcriptional co-activator with PDZ-binding motif (TAZ) [3]. TAZ is not only a mechanotransducer of matrix stiffness and geometry but a central orchestrator of multiple signaling pathways key to the maintenance of CEnC and limbal stem cell (LSC) health as well as those implicated in FECD such as Hippo, FGF, TGF-Beta and NF-kB [4–10]. Thus, this project aims to characterize how *Wwtr1* (TAZ) deficiency mechanistically contribute to the pathogenesis of FECD in CEnC and to determine whether there is an impact on the LSC and consequently the corneal epithelium (CEp).



Fig 1. The schematic diagram of a normal versus FECD **cornea.** In the FECD cornea, there is an increased rate of CEnC attrition, abnormal CEnC morphology, cloudy stroma (CSK) and Descemet membrane (DM) thickness and stiffness alterations. No significant changes noted in the corneal epithelium (CEp) and the Bowman's layer (BL).

CONCLUSION

- Established an updated cell atlas with scRNA-seq of the murine cornea with age and genotype (TAZ deficiency)
- KO2MO CEnC have an upregulation of ER stress pathways, calcium binding proteins, cell adhesion and cell death with respect to WT2MO CEnC.
- K02M0 CEnC also exhibits a compensatory increase in expression of cell adhesion molecules, Na/K ATPases and mitochondrial genes.
- Non-proliferating KO2MO qLSC upregulated TGF-Beta and Notch signaling pathways but downregulated genes associated with aerobic respiration compared to WT2MO.
- Non-proliferating qLSC has much fewer DE genes at the 11-month age between genotypes.
- KO MC-qLSC downregulates aerobic respiratory pathways but increase pathways of proliferation and stem-cell maintenance compared to age matched WT MC-qLSC.



This research was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH R01EY016134, P30EY12576, K08EY028199, OD010956). The authors have no conflicts of interest or disclosures related to this work.

Fig 6. TAZ KO mitotically active qLSC (MCqLSC) exhibit a greater degree of "stemness" and proliferation than their WT counterparts. Unbiased clustering of MC reveals 8 subtypes. (A) UMAP of scRNAseq atlas of MC across 9 samples (n = 27). (B) UMAP of MC split by sample and colored by MC subtype. (C) Violin plot of qLSC (Gpha2, Cd63, Ifitm3) and aLSC markers (Socs3, Atf3, Mt2). (D) Dim plots of composite scores of the signatures of the 4 proliferating cell types of the cornea. Cell type scores were determined using the top 10 markers of the 4 cell type clusters. (E,F) Bar chart demonstrating the top 15 gene ontology (GO) hits for biological processes for genes upregulated between age matched WT MC-qLSC and KO MCqLSC, respectively. Sample upregulated genes from each GO category are listed.

Single-Cell Transcriptomics of the TAZ-deficient Murine Cornea

INTRODUCTION









harvesting + papain

RESULTS

Fig 3. Single-cell atlas of murine cornea the the demonstrates presence of 9 cell types all three across genotypes of the three ages. (A) UMAP of the scRNA-seq atlas across 9 samples (n = 27). qLSC, limbal quiescent stem cells; aLSC, active limbal stem cells; MC, mitotically BC, basal cells; active SBC, suprabasa cells; cells; SC, superficial cells; CjEC, conjunctiva epithelium; CEnC, corneal endothelial cells. (B) UMAP of single cell atlas sample and split by type. (C) colored by cell demonstrating plot Dot cell type specific marker [11-13]. (D) expression Table of cell type frequencies across samples.



Fig 4. CEnC from TAZ KO demonstrate an upregulation of transcripts associated with endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, cell death and mitochondrial dysfunction compared to WT CEnC at 2 months of age. (A) Volcano plot demonstrating differentially expressed (DE) genes between KO2MO (n = 820) and WT2MO (n = 33) CEnC. *p* < 0.05 (B) Bar chart demonstrating the top 20 gene ontology hits for biological processes for genes upregulated by CEnC KO2MO with to WT2MO. (C) Bar chart respect demonstrating the top 20 gene ontology hits processes for genes biological for downregulated by CEnC KO2MO with respect to WT2MO. (D) Violin plot of select DE genes of biological significance. *p* < 0.05.





METHODS



Fig 5. qLSC from TAZ KO demonstrate an upregulation of transcripts associated with the TGF-B pathway and Notch pathway compared to WT CEnC which does not persist with age. (A) Volcano plot demonstrating DE genes between KO2MO (n = 294) and WT2MO (n = 630) qLSC. p <0.05(B) Bar chart demonstrating the top 20 gene ontology hits for biological processes for genes upregulated by qLSC KO2MO with respect to WT2MO. (C) Bar chart demonstrating the top 20 gene ontology hits for biological processes for genes downregulated by qLSC KO2MO with respect to WT2MO. (D) Violin plot of select DE genes of biological significance. *p* <0.05. (E) Volcano plot demonstrating DE genes between KO11MO (n = 330) and WT11MO (n = 184) qLSC. *p <0.05*.