

Regulation of folate metabolism by microRNA-34a identifies a potential combination therapy against canine osteosarcoma Madison Luker, Nick Oldberg, Luke Wittenburg

Background

- Osteosarcoma (OS) is an aggressive primary malignant bone tumor common in both humans and dogs.¹ The standard of care, namely chemotherapy drugs such as doxorubicin and methotrexate (MTX), still yields a poor prognosis in canine OS patients, with less than 20% of dogs surviving more than 2 years after diagnosis.² \rightarrow There is a clear need to identify alternate treatment strategies to improve
 - clinical outcomes for OS patients
- **miRNAs** are small, single-stranded, noncoding RNAs that can silence gene expression through inhibiting mRNA translation or inducing mRNA degradation.³
 - miR-34a is a tumor suppressive miRNA that has been found to be downregulated in various human cancers, including osteosarcoma. Due to the highly conserved nature of miRNAs, similar antitumorigenic effects have been identified in canine OSA cells when treated with a human, genetically engineered miR-34a prodrug, increasing tumor cell apoptosis and decreasing proliferation, migration and invasion.⁴

• Proteomics preliminary research

- In a quantitative proteomics project, multiple proteins critical to the folate pathway – gamma-glutamyl hydrolase (GGH), thymidylate synthetase (TS), methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenases (MTHFD1 and MTHFD2), and serine hydroxy methyltransferase 1 (SHMTI) – were downregulated by miR-34a.
- Folic acid metabolism is essential for purine nucleotide synthesis, and consequently, cell survival.⁵

• Hypotheses

- miR-34a decreases human and canine OS cell survival through decreasing folic acid metabolism
- Synergistic anti-folate activity will be observed in OS cells treated with miR-34a and a routinely used anti-folate, MTX.

Aims

1. Validate the functional role of miR-34a in modulating the folate pathway

- Hypothesis: Treatment of canine and human OS cells with miR-34a will decrease expression of folate metabolism mediators GGH, MTHFD1, MTHFD2, SHMT1, and TS.
- 2. Elucidate the anti-tumor effect of miR-34a with methotrexate
- Hypothesis: Methotrexate combined with miR-34a will result in greater anti-tumor effects in OS cells compared to either treatment alone.

Methods

Aim 1: Validate the functional role of miR-34a in modulating the folate pathway

- Two canine (HMPOS, D17) and two human (U2OS WT, U2OS p53KO) OS cell lines were transfected with miR-34a prodrug (5 nM) according to the JetPrime protocol. Control cells were treated with LSA miR control vehicle.
- Western Blot Analysis to analyze the differential expression of proteins involved in folic acid metabolism – namely GGH, MTHFD1, MTHFD2, SHMT1, and TS.
- RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and qPCR to determine intracellular levels of mature miR-34a.

Aim 2: Elucidate the anti-tumor effect of miR-34a with methotrexate

- OS cell lines were plated in 96-well plates and after 24 hours, treated with a range of concentrations of miR-34a prodrug, methotrexate, and both for 48 hours.
- Cellular proliferation was then evaluated using a resazurin-based fluorometric assay.
- For all treatment strategies, we will determine synergistic, additive or antagonistic effects using the Chou-Talalay method with CompuSyn software.
- Analyses performed used GraphPad Prism.

UC Davis School of Veterinary Medicine









- quantitative western blot
- miR-34a and the controls.

Aim 2: Elucidate the anti-tumor effect of miR-34a with and without methotrexate

- miR-34a and MTX.
- drugs combined

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13028-017-0341-9 *Molecular Sciences*, *22*(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22073639 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209941



Financial support was provided by the UC Davis SVM Students Training in Advanced Research (STAR) Program and NIH grant: 5T35OD010956-22.

Conclusions

Aim 1: Validate the functional role of miR-34a in modulating the folate pathway • miR-34a has an antitumorigenic effect through inhibition of the folate pathway, but this effect is not quantifiable on the protein expression level by semi-

• Western blot results neither confirm nor deny results from the previous quantitative proteomics project. Densitometry analysis of western blots

revealed no statistically significant difference between cells transfected with

• Likely, this discrepancy is because western blot is less sensitive to changes in protein/gene expression than mass spectrometry. Consequently, the slight but significant changes observed in the proteomics project could not be visualized with western blot analysis.

• Alternatively, the miR prodrug could have been inefficient in entering and transfecting the cells and achieving a high enough intracellular concentration to achieve a quantifiable change in protein expression. To analyze the intracellular miR concentrations, we will perform qPCR of mature miR-34a.

• Proliferation assay results reveal a dose-dependent decrease in cell survival with miR-34a, MTX, and both drugs combined.

• There appears to be a greater decrease in cell viability in cells treated with miR and MTX than miR alone. Cells treated with MTX alone appear to have reduced cell viability compared to cells treated with both MTX and miR.

Future Directions

Western blot analysis of OS cells transfected with increased concentrations of miR (10 nM, 20 nM) based on proliferation assay results.

2. Real-time gRT-PCR of transfected cells to evaluate for levels of mature miR-34a. 3. CompuSyn analysis of single compound and combination treated cells to allow for statistical determination of synergistic, additive or antagonistic activity of combined

Apoptosis assays (fluorometric activated caspace 3/7 assay) with miR, MTX, and both

References

1. Simpson, S., Dunning, M. D., de Brot, S., Grau-Roma, L., Mongan, N. P., & Rutland, C. S. (2017). Comparative review of human and canine osteosarcoma: morphology, epidemiology, prognosis, treatment and genetics. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica, 59(1), 71.

2. Wilk, S. S., & Zabielska-Koczywąs, K. A. (2021). Molecular Mechanisms of Canine Osteosarcoma Metastasis. International Journal of

3. Zhang, L., Liao, Y., & Tang, L. (2019). MicroRNA-34 family: a potential tumor suppressor and therapeutic candidate in cancer. Journal of *Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research*, *38*(1), 53. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-019-1059-5

4. Alegre, F., Ormonde, A. R., Snider, K. M., Woolard, K., Yu, A.-M., & Wittenburg, L. A. (2018). A genetically engineered microRNA-34a prodrug demonstrates anti-tumor activity in a canine model of osteosarcoma. PLOS ONE, 13(12), e0209941.

5. Shuvalov, O., Petukhov, A., Daks, A., Fedorova, O., Vasileva, E., & Barlev, N. A. (2017). One-carbon metabolism and nucleotide biosynthesis as attractive targets for anticancer therapy. Oncotarget, 8(14), 23955–23977. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.15053

Acknowledgements